

“Islamic” banking between literalism and rationalism

Mahmoud A. El-Gamal
Rice University



Literalists and Rationalists through the centuries

Rationalist analysis of Texts

- Ibn Al-Muqaffa` (720-56)
- Abu Yusuf (731-98)
- Al-Farabi (870-950)
- ‘ibn Sina (980-1037)
- ‘ibn Rushd (1126-1198)
- ‘ibn Khaldun (1332-1406)
- Al-Afghani, `Abduh, ...

Inclusive, intellectual cross-fertilization, embracing innovations, ...

Anti-rationalist jurisprudence

- Al-Shafi`I (d.820)
- ‘ibn Hanbal (d.855)
- Al-Ghazali (1058-1111)
- ‘ibn Taymiyya (1263-1328)
- (Most jurists ...)
- Al-Mawdudi, ...

Exclusive, rejecting non-Muslim thought, rejecting innovations, ...

How do we define the forbidden Riba? How do we avoid it?

- Islamic economics and Islamic finance are a by-product of the literalist form of fundamentalism
- Contemporary jurists are excessively certain about what is forbidden and how to avoid it
 - Contrast with our ancient scholars
- Islamic Law is too important to be left to jurists!

March 30, 2002

© Mahmoud A. El-Gamal

Slide #3 of 8

Ribā is neither “interest” nor “usury”

- There is *Ribā* without interest (*Al-Faḍl*, *Al-Nasāʾ*) and interest without *Ribā* (credit sales)
 - The Hadith → “hand to hand, and in equal amounts”
 - The percentage does not matter.
- The prohibition is not about “exploitation”
 - The misunderstanding has led to both types of error
 - Al-Subkī debunks the opinion of Ibn Kayyisān:
 - how about credit sales with exorbitant “interest”?
 - Non-*Ribawī* goods.

March 30, 2002

© Mahmoud A. El-Gamal

Slide #4 of 8

It is not an issue of risk, or time value

- Jurists recognized time value of money:
 - “The price may be increased with deferment”
 - “Time has a share in the price”
 - “What is worth 5 in cash is worth 6 deferred”
- Money is “fertile” (eligible for *Ribā* and *Zakāh*)
- Credit sales include the same type of risk as loans with interest: credit risk
 - “No return without risk” is a tautology
 - There is no Textual basis for the claim that “profit and loss sharing” is preferable in Islam

March 30, 2002

© Mahmoud A. El-Gamal

Slide #5 of 8

Jurists’ solutions are harmful to Muslims

- Example 1: Lease to purchase conditions
 - Islamic bank holding title – why not a lien?
 - Bank responsible for maintenance – moral hazard and adverse selection problems
- Example 2: Islamic mutual fund screens
 - Qualitative screens cause poor sectoral distribution
 - Quantitative screens cause increased volatility, pro-cyclicality, and loss-trading

March 30, 2002

© Mahmoud A. El-Gamal

Slide #6 of 8

Is it time for a rationalist approach?

- The jurists' conception of Riba contradicts the texts that they use and the practices they allow
- The jurists' solutions are poor imitations of conventional financial practices
- Do we need to reinvent the wheel, or simply to build upon the accomplishments of others?

March 30, 2002

© Mahmoud A. El-Gamal

Slide #7 of 8

How to avoid Riba (usury)?

Literalist jurist approach:

Focus on contract name:

Murabaha or Ijara

• **Form over function:**

Implicit rate of return can be LIBOR + markup

• **Enforce outdated conventions:**

Contract conditions stipulated in jurisprudence books, based on □□□

A rationalist approach:

What is the essence of Riba in secured-loan financing?

Agree with Calvin and U.S. regulators: charging excessive interest rates

• **Function over form:**

Marking rate to market rental rather than LIBOR+

• **Luther's "Christian" cobbler makes a good shoe**

March 30, 2002

© Mahmoud A. El-Gamal

Slide #8 of 8